## WO

DATE: <u>June 11, 2015</u>

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America

ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOLAL

	V.	ORDER OF DE	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Alonso Ramirez-Mier		Case Number:	15-9170MJ	
was presen	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 and represented by counsel. I conclude by a ler the detention of the defendant pending trial	preponderance of the evidence		
I final have a		IDINGS OF FACT		
, ,	preponderance of the evidence that:			
		f the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contact	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appea	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	prisonment.	
The Court at the	e Court incorporates by reference the material e time of the hearing in this matter, except as r	noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant	CLUSIONS OF LAW		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions		earance of the defendant as required.	
		REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correct pending ap order of a c facility shall	e defendant is committed to the custody of the ions facility separate, to the extent practicable peal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an a deliver the defendant to the United States Ma	, from persons awaiting or servir mable opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the arshal for the purpose of an appe	ng sentences or being held in custody insultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceeding	AI I LALO AI	ID THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a District Cou	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this de- copy of the motion for review/reconsideration irt. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., e te of service of a copy of this order or after the	to Pretrial Services at least one effective December 1, 2009, Defe	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days	

ity objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.